

STRICT POLYNOMIAL FUNCTORS AND MULTISSETS

TORSTEN EKEDAH AND PELLE SALOMONSSON

ABSTRACT. We prove a generalisation to any characteristic of a result of Macdonald that describes strict polynomial functors in characteristic zero in terms of representations of the groupoid of finite sets and bijections. Our result will give an analogous description in terms of finite multisets and an extension of the notion of bijection appropriate for multisets. A projected application is to the description of strict polynomial monads that will give a notion generalising (linear algebra) operads.

Over fields (or more generally (commutative) rings) of characteristic 0 a result of Macdonald (cf. [MD95, App. I:A:5.3]) gives an equivalence between the category of strict polynomial functors (i.e., functors F from finitely generated projective modules to arbitrary modules for which the structure maps $\text{Hom}(P, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(FP, FQ)$ have been given the structure of polynomial maps) to the category of Σ -modules; collections $\{M_n\}$ of Σ_n -modules for each $n \geq 0$. This relation with the symmetric groups provides the study of the category of strict polynomial functors with a heavily combinatorial flavour which becomes even more pronounced if one instead of Σ -modules considers the equivalent category of representations of the groupoid of finite sets and bijections. It is the purpose of the current article to give an analogous description of the category of strict polynomial functors over any commutative ring. Very roughly speaking this description is obtained by replacing finite sets with finite *multisets* and bijections with a notion that we shall call *multijections*. (Note that this is not the only “algebraic description” of strict polynomial functors; they also correspond to collections of modules over Schur algebras. Our contribution is to give a description close in spirit to Macdonald’s.)

Even though there is a strong analogy between sets/bijections and multisets/multijections there is one important conceptual difference in that bijections are always invertible whereas multijections are not (they do have the property that a multijection endomorphism is an isomorphism but there are multijections also between some non-isomorphic multisets). This has as a consequence that in the category of representations of multisets that will turn out to be equivalent to the category of strict polynomial functors one will have for a multijection f two maps f_* and f^* acting on the representation in question. These will be inverses to each other when f is invertible but will not be in general. There will be certain relations between their composites most of which serve to make the representations be special cases of the notion of Mackey functors introduced by Dress (cf. [Dr73]). There is a small subtlety in that the category of finite multisets and multijections is not a based category in Dress’ sense but only gives rise to one; we shall describe the precise relationship. Furthermore, these functor will fulfill one further property: Each multijection has associated to it a degree, a positive integer and we will also have the relation $f_*f^* = \deg f$; we shall call such functors *Hecke-Mackey functors* and our result is that the category of strict polynomial functors is equivalent to the category of Hecke-Mackey functors on the category of multisets and multijections.

We give the precise relation with the Macdonald description by showing that over a $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra our notion is equivalent to that of Σ -module, a collection of Σ_n -modules. From our point of view it is more natural to say that one may restrict attention to multisets all of whose elements have multiplicity one, i.e., ordinary sets. The same idea of proof also shows that in a p -local situation one may restrict attention to sets all of whose multiplicities are powers of p .

We feel that that our description is interesting from a purely representation-theoretic view point and that it would for instance be interesting to compare our description with the approach using Schur algebras (which has been much further developed). Partitions, which to us will

simply be a special kind of multisets, appear very explicitly in the theory of Schur algebras and it is not clear if these appearances can be related to the rôle they play in our theory. We would, however, like to point out that the main application we have in mind goes in a different direction. In a sequel we shall use the description of this paper to introduce the notion of Schur operad which will then be proven to be equivalent to that of strict polynomial monads. This gives nothing new in characteristic 0 but will allow us to give an operadic description of for instance p -Lie algebras which is not covered by ordinary operads. These ideas will also be seen to give (yet another) algebraic framework for describing the homology operations on infinite loop spaces.

Conventions: Throughout this article we shall let R be a commutative Noetherian ring.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Multisets

To us a *multiset* shall be a function from the class of all sets to $\mathbf{N}$, the set of non-negative numbers, which is zero outside of a subset. The value of the function on a set will be called the *multiplicity* of the multiset at the set. We shall say that the multiset is *supported in* a set S if it is zero outside it. The *support* of a multiset is the set where it is non-zero, we shall then also say that the multiset is *supported on* its support. If S is a multiset we shall denote its support by $\underline{S}$ and then we shall denote the restriction of S to $\underline{S}$ by μ_S . A multiset is completely determined by μ_S and conversely any $\mathbf{N}$ -valued function on a set can be extended by zero outside the set to give rise to a multiset. By abuse of language we shall consistently confuse these two descriptions (it is very convenient to have the function defined on all sets which is why we need two descriptions). We shall also consider each set S as a multiset by the condition that the support of μ_S is S and that μ_S is identically 1 on S . In particular, using the μ_S point of view a multiset is also a set (as μ_S is) and so we will have no problem with considering multisets of multisets. Furthermore, a multiset T is a *subset* of a multiset S if $T(s) \leq S(s)$ for all sets s . We define the *product*, $S \times T$, by the condition that $(S \times T)(w)$ is zero unless w has the form $w = (s, t)$ in which case $(S \times T)(w) := S(s)T(t)$. Similarly, we define the *disjoint union* of two multisets S and T as the multiset whose support is the disjoint union of the supports and whose multiplicity on the copy of $\underline{S}$ is given by the multiplicity function of S and similarly for the copy of $\underline{T}$.

We shall mainly be interested in *finite multisets*, that is, multisets with finite support and for such a multiset S its *cardinality* is defined to be $|S| := \sum_{s \in \underline{S}} \mu_S(s)$. We shall also use standard set-theoretic notation for multisets in cases where the meaning can be easily inferred. So we may for instance express the cardinality of a multiset S as $\sum_{s \in S} 1$ where it is hence understood that the sum is summed with multiplicities. More generally for a finite multiset S of elements of a commutative monoid we mean by $\sum_{s \in S} s$ the sum $\sum_{t \in \underline{S}} \mu_S(t)t$.

If S and T are multisets we define their *sum* by $(S + T)(x) := S(x) + T(x)$. This makes the set of multisets supported in a fixed set an abelian monoid (more precisely it is the power of $\mathbf{N}$ over the set).

If S and T are finite multisets then a *multijection* from S to T is a map $f: \underline{S} \rightarrow \underline{T}$ such that $\mu_T(t) = \sum_{f(s)=t} \mu_S(s)$ for $t \in \underline{T}$. It is clear that if $g: \underline{T} \rightarrow \underline{U}$ is the underlying map of another multijection then the composite $g \circ f$ is the underlying map of a multijection which we shall call the *composite* of f and g . From this it is equally clear that the finite multisets and the multijections between them form a category, $\mathcal{S}^\mu$. Note that the multijection as well as the multiset T is determined by the map f and conversely, given a finite multiset S and a map of sets $f: \underline{S} \rightarrow T$ we can define μ_T by $\mu_T(t) = \sum_{s \in f^{-1}(t)} \mu_S(s)$ and then f becomes a multijection. We shall call this multijection the *induced multijection*. Note further that $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ has a symmetric monoidal structure; the disjoint union of multijections is again a multijection. A multijection $S \rightarrow T$ will be called *final* if the support of T consists of a single point. It is then clear that every multijection decomposes, up to isomorphism and in an essentially unique way, as a disjoint union of final multijections.

Isomorphism classes of finite multisets correspond exactly to unordered partitions. We shall now describe an association of a specific representative to each unordered partition. If $[1^{n_1}, \dots, k^{n_k}]$ is an unordered partition then we associate to it the multiset with support in the positive integers that gives $1, 2, \dots, n_1$ multiplicity 1, $n_1 + 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2$ multiplicity 2 etc. We shall adopt the custom of similar situations and in fact let $[1^{n_1}, \dots, k^{n_k}]$ mean both the partition and this multiset representative. (Note that thus $[n]$ means $\{1, 1, 1, \dots, 1\}$ which differs from a not uncommon notation where it is used for $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We will instead have $[1^n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.)

We shall also need to consider ordered partitions that we shall identify with finite multisets supported in the non-negative integers. The notation $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k]$, n_i non-negative integers, will sometimes be used for the partition which has multiplicity n_i at i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ and zero otherwise. (This gives a slight notational clash in that for instance $[n]$ could denote both an unordered and an ordered partition, this should not however cause any confusion.)

Proposition 1.1 *Every multijection can be written as a composite of isomorphisms of multisets and the disjoint union of a final morphism of the type $[n_1, n_2] \rightarrow [n_1 + n_2]$ with identity maps.*

PROOF: This is clear as every multiset is isomorphic to an ordered partition and every multijection can be written as the composite of maps $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ that are bijections outside of one point of β and those in the latter category is a composition of such, such that the cardinality of the fibres are 1 or 2. $\square$

A *multi-map* from a finite multiset α to a (multi)set S is a submultiset Γ of $\alpha \times S$ such that projection on the first factor is a multijection. Just as for functions we shall sometimes make a distinction between the multi-map and its *graph* which is f considered as a submultiset of $\alpha \times S$.

Remark: This definition is in analogy with the definition of a function on sets as a subset of the product such that projection on the first factor is a bijection. Intuitively, if a multiset can be thought of as a set with elements repeated according to their multiplicity, then a multi-map may take different values on different copies of the same element. The multijection which is the projection on the first factor is then the smallest multijection needed to make this function one-valued on the underlying set. A map on the other hand does not allow this. Note furthermore that there is no natural way of composing multi-maps.

A multi-map is a *map* if the projection on the first factor is actually an isomorphism. A multi-map is a *bimultijection* if the projection on the second factor is also a multijection. Note that multi-maps $\alpha \rightarrow S$ may be identified with isomorphism classes of diagrams $\alpha \leftarrow \gamma \rightarrow S$, where $\alpha \leftarrow \gamma$ is a multijection and $\gamma \rightarrow S$ is a map whose underlying function $\underline{\gamma} \rightarrow \underline{S}$ is injective on the fibres of $\underline{\alpha} \leftarrow \underline{\gamma}$.

We shall need the following generalisation of shuffles to the multiset situation.

Definition 1.2 *If $f: \beta \rightarrow S^\mu$ is a map from a finite multiset to the class of finite multisets and $\alpha := \sum_{s \in \beta} f(s)$ then the multi-shuffle associated to f is the induced multijection $\cup_{s \in \beta} f(s) \rightarrow \alpha$.¹ If we want to be more specific we shall call it a β -heaped multi-shuffle.*

1.2 Hecke-Mackey functors

We recall (cf., [Dr73, §4]) the definition of a *based category* making the trivial modification that we only require that there be a finite number of isomorphism classes in each component.

(M1) $\mathcal{A}$ has finite limits and finite coproducts,

(M2) the two squares of a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & Z' & \longleftarrow & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & X \amalg Y & \longleftarrow & Y \end{array}$$

¹Note that according to the general principles $f(s)$ will appear $\mu_\beta(s)$ times in the disjoint union.

are cartesian precisely when the top row is a coproduct,

- (M3) there is a finite set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in each component of $\mathcal{A}$ and every object of $\mathcal{A}$ is a coproduct of indecomposable objects, and
- (M4) for indecomposable Z and Z' , $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Z, Z')$ is finite and an endomorphism of an indecomposable object is an isomorphism.

As is essentially noticed in [Dr73] a based category is determined by its full subcategory of indecomposable objects. We shall need to make this explicit as well as giving a characterisation of that subcategory. For that recall (cf., [BDFP, Déf. 5.2]) that a category has *weak fibre products* if for every pair of morphisms with the same target there are a finite number of commutative squares with the left and bottom maps equal to the two given ones and such that any other square with this property factors uniquely through precisely one of them.

Definition 1.3 *An atomic category is a category $\mathcal{A}$ with the following properties.*

- (A1) $\mathcal{A}$ has weak fibre products and a final object and no initial object,
- (A3) there is a finite number of isomorphism classes of objects in each component of $\mathcal{A}$, and
- (A4) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Z, Z')$ is finite for any objects Z and Z' of $\mathcal{A}$ and an endomorphism of an object is an isomorphism.

We shall now see that these two notions are essentially the same.

Proposition 1.4 *The full subcategory of indecomposable objects of a based category form an atomic category and for each atomic category there is a, unique up to equivalence, based category whose full subcategory of indecomposable objects is equivalent to the given atomic category.*

PROOF: Start with a based category. By (M1) (cf., last paragraph of [Dr73, p. 202]) any map from an indecomposable object to a coproduct factors through one of the factors. From this the axioms for an atomic category are immediate. Furthermore, again from (M1), if $X_1, \dots, X_n$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$ are indecomposable then

$$\text{Hom}\left(\coprod_i X_i, \coprod_j X_j\right) = \coprod_j \prod_i \text{Hom}(X_i, Y_j)$$

which shows that the category is determined up to equivalence by the full subcategory of indecomposable objects as well as giving a recipe for constructing a based category given an atomic; its objects are sequences (possibly empty to get an initial object) $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and morphisms are given by the above formula (alternatively one gets an equivalent category by using finite multisets of objects) and one checks easily that one gets in this way a based category which contains a full subcategory equivalent to the atomic one consisting of sequences of length 1. $\square$

Given an atomic category $\mathcal{A}$ we shall call the based category obtained in this way the *based hull* of $\mathcal{A}$ and denote it $\mathcal{BA}$.

Recall also (cf., [BDFP, p. 245]) that for a category $\mathcal{A}$ with weak fibre products one defines a new category $\mathbf{NQ}\mathcal{A}$ with the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$ and where morphisms from A to B are formal sums of isomorphism classes of pairs of maps $A \xleftarrow{f} C \xrightarrow{g} B$ with composition bi-additive and given by fibre products on pairs of morphisms (formal sums are needed as the fibre product gives several maps because the fibre products are weak). We shall refer to the basis elements as the *primitive morphisms*. Further if $f = id$, the notation g_* will be used for the morphism and if $g = id$ the notation f^* will be used. In general the morphism equals the composite $g_* f^*$. Recall also that if $\mathcal{A}$ has fibre products then $\mathcal{QA}$ denotes the category where the morphisms are isomorphism classes of pairs $A \leftarrow C \rightarrow B$ (as sums are not necessary). These different constructions are tightly related. For that recall that [BDFP, Déf. 5.3] defines the notion of

Mackey-functor for a category with weak fibre products (which is like the Mackey-functor of [Dr73] only that it is additive only over morphisms not over objects).

However, as we are going to deal with Morita equivalences we would need to deal with both right and left additive functors. Now, $\mathbf{NQA}$ and $\mathcal{DA}$ are isomorphic to their opposite categories. In fact, the functor which is the identity on objects and takes the (class of) the morphism $A \leftarrow B \rightarrow C$ to $C \leftarrow B \rightarrow A$ obtained by exchanging the morphisms induces an equivalence between $\mathbf{NQA}$ and $\mathbf{NQA}^\circ$ resp. $\mathcal{DA}$ and $\mathcal{DA}^\circ$. This very natural anti-auto-equivalence allows us to switch freely back and forth between right and left modules. We shall do this without further mention. Nevertheless we should make a choice and it seems that most of our formulas become nicer if we let a Mackey-functor be a covariant functor with the required properties and we use the term *left Mackey-functor* for the covariant version.

Proposition 1.5 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an atomic category.*

- i) $\mathbf{NQA}$ is equivalent to a full subcategory of $\mathcal{QBA}$.
- ii) For any semi-additive category $\mathcal{C}$ (cf., [BDFP, Déf. 4.1]) the following data are all equivalent:

- A Mackey-functor on $\mathcal{A}$ with values in $\mathcal{C}$ in the sense of [BDFP].
- A Mackey-functor on $\mathcal{BA}$ with values in $\mathcal{C}$ in the sense of [Dr73].
- An additive functor $\mathcal{QBA} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.
- A functor $\mathbf{NQA} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that is additive on morphisms.

The correspondence is induced by the canonical functors between these categories.

PROOF: The first part is clear and the second is clear using [BDFP, Thm. 5.8-9]. $\square$

In the future we shall freely pass back and forth between these different ways of looking at a Mackey-functor. In analogy with [Dr73, §4] we define for X an object of $\mathcal{A}$, an atomic category, $\Omega(X)$ to be the free $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of isomorphism classes of maps $Y \rightarrow X$. We make Ω into a Mackey-functor by associating to $\alpha: Y \rightarrow Z$ the map $\alpha_*: \Omega(Y) \rightarrow \Omega(Z)$ given by $[\beta] \mapsto [\alpha \circ \beta]$ and $\alpha^*: \Omega(Z) \rightarrow \Omega(Y)$ by mapping $[\beta]$ to the sum of the pullbacks of it along α . Just as in [Dr73, Prop. 4.2] any Mackey-functor M has an action of Ω given by $[X \xrightarrow{\beta} Y]a := \beta_*(\beta^*a)$ for $a \in M(Y)$.

Definition 1.6 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an atomic category. A degree map is a map that associates a non-negative integer $\deg(\alpha)$ to every morphism of $\mathcal{A}$ such that*

- $\deg(\alpha\beta) = \deg(\alpha)\deg(\beta)$ for all composable morphisms α and β and
- $\deg(\alpha) = \sum_i \deg(\alpha_i)$ where α is a morphism and the α_i are the pullbacks of α along some other morphism.

If $\mathcal{A}$ has a degree map, $\deg$, then we may define a Mackey-functor D , the *degree functor*, with $D(X) = \mathbf{Z}$, α_* is multiplication with $\deg(\alpha)$ and α^* is the identity map. As always this gives an action of Ω on D which amounts to the map $\Omega \rightarrow D$ taking $X \rightarrow Y$ to its degree which is then a map of Mackey-functors.

Definition 1.7 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an atomic category with a degree function. A Hecke-Mackey-functor with values in an additive category $\mathcal{B}$ is a Mackey-functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ for which $\beta^*\beta_*$ is multiplication by $\deg(\beta)$ for all morphisms β of $\mathcal{A}$ or equivalently that the action of Ω on the functor factors through the map from Ω to the degree functor of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Remark: i) The definition clearly does not have to make a reference to Ω and the degree functor. The reason why we have introduced them will be clearer when we discuss the homology Schur operad associated to a topological operad. There it will be seen that the condition that $\beta^*\beta_*$ is

multiplication by the degree is true because we consider homology but would not be true for a generalised homology theory. We hope to return to this point.

To avoid confusion with the use of the term “semi-additive category” of [BDFP, Déf. 4.1] we shall call a category whose Hom-sets are given the structure of commutative monoids with composition bi-additive *rigoids*.² One may describe also Hecke-Mackey-functors as additive functors from a rigoid and we shall now show how this is done. Let $A \xleftarrow{f} C \xrightarrow{g} B$ be a diagram in an atomic category. We then get a map from $C \xrightarrow{h} D$ to one of the components of the product of A and B (i.e., one of the elements of the weak fibre product of A and B over the final element). We shall say that $A \leftarrow C \rightarrow B$ is a *graph* if h is an isomorphism. We now define $\mathcal{DA}$ to be the category whose objects are the same as of $\mathcal{A}$ and whose morphisms are formal sums (i.e., non-negative integer linear combinations) of isomorphism classes of graphs. The composition is the same as that in $\mathbf{NQA}$ with the difference that a digram $A \xleftarrow{f} C \xrightarrow{g} B$ is replaced by $A \xleftarrow{p} D \xrightarrow{q} B$ multiplied by $\deg h$, where p and q are the projections. Again we shall call the basis elements the *primitive morphisms*. Almost by definition we have an additive functor $\mathbf{NQA} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$ which is the identity on objects and maps $A \leftarrow C \rightarrow B$ to $\deg h$ times $A \leftarrow D \rightarrow B$.

Proposition 1.8 *A Mackey-functor on an atomic category with a degree function $\mathcal{A}$ seen as an additive functor on $\mathbf{NQA}$ is a Hecke-Mackey-functor precisely when it factors through $\mathbf{NQA} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$.*

PROOF: Using the notations in the preceding paragraph we have

$$g_* f^* = (qh)_* (ph)^* = q_* h_* h^* p^*$$

and a Hecke-Mackey-functor maps it to $\deg h q_* p^*$ which means that it factors through $\mathcal{DA}$. On the other hand if Mackey-functor factors through $\mathcal{DA}$ then $h_* h^*$ maps to $\deg h$ which means that it is a Hecke-Mackey-functor. $\square$

The prototypical case of an atomic category and a degree function is obtained by considering a finite group G and a set $\mathcal{G}$ of subgroups closed under conjugation and intersection and let $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{G})$ be the category of transitive permutation G -actions whose stabilisers are contained in $\mathcal{G}$. The degree function is given by letting $\deg(S \rightarrow T)$ be the cardinality of any fibre of $S \rightarrow T$. Associated to this example is also a prototypical Hecke-Mackey-functor namely the one that to a permutation set S takes the G -permutation representation, $\mathbf{Z}[S]$, on it. To a map $f: S \rightarrow T$ one lets f_* be the map $f_*[s] = [f(s)]$ and $f^*[t] = \sum_{s \in f^{-1}\{t\}} [s]$. (This is also the motivation for the use of the name of Hecke as endomorphism algebras of permutation modules will soon be seen to be involved.) Note that as the definition of f_* and f^* does not use inverses we also get a Hecke-Mackey-functor by $S \mapsto \mathbf{N}[S]$, where $\mathbf{N}[S]$ is the free abelian monoid on S .

We shall be interested essentially in one example of this construction namely when the group is Σ_n , the group of permutations on n letters, and $\mathcal{S}_n$ consists of the subgroups that fix some partition of $[1^n]$. In fact our main interest will be in a category that is equivalent to it, namely the category $\mathcal{S}_n^\mu$ of multisets of cardinality n and multijections between them. To any such multiset we associate the Σ_n -set $\Sigma^\alpha := \text{Hom}_{\Sigma^\mu}([1^n], \alpha)$ with Σ_n acting on $[1^n]$ by the inverse of the natural action. We denote by $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ the category of finite multisets and multijections between them. It is the disjoint union of the $\mathcal{S}_n^\mu$.

Proposition 1.9 *The functor $\alpha \mapsto \Sigma^\alpha$ is an equivalence of the category of multisets of cardinality n and multijections with the category $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma_n, \mathcal{S}_n)$. It takes the monoidal structure of $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ to $(S, T) \mapsto \Sigma_{m+n} \times_{\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n} (S \times T)$ for $S \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma_m, \mathcal{S}_m)$ and $T \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma_n, \mathcal{S}_n)$. Finally, the degree function of $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma_n, \mathcal{S}_n)$ is transferred to $\deg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = \binom{\beta}{\alpha}$, where for a finite multiset α we put*

$$\alpha! := \prod_{s \in \alpha} \mu_\alpha(s)! \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{\beta}{\alpha} = \frac{\beta!}{\alpha!}.$$

²So that a rigoid with one object is a semi-ring, now often called *rig*.

PROOF: We define a quasi-inverse in the following manner. Given a transitive Σ_n -set S we consider the set $\underline{T}$ of orbits of the action of Σ_n on $S \times [1^n]$. Each such orbit maps equivariantly onto S and in particular its cardinality is divisible by the cardinality of S . We then define a multiset T supported on $\underline{T}$ by $\mu_T(t) = |t|/|S|$. Given a multiset I of cardinality n we get a map $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}^\mu}([1^n], I) \times [1^n] \rightarrow \underline{T}$ that maps (s, φ) to $\varphi(s)$ which is easily seen to induce an isomorphism of the multiset associated to the Σ_n -set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}^\mu}([1^n], I)$ and I . Conversely given an object S of $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma_n, \mathcal{S}_n)$ we have a map $S \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}^\mu}([1^n], S \times_{\Sigma_n} [1^n])$ which takes $s \in S$ to $i \mapsto \overline{(i, s)}$ which is easily seen to be a bijection.

As for the degree function, by multiplicativity, if $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$ is a final multijection, then $\deg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = \deg(\alpha \rightarrow \gamma) / \deg(\beta \rightarrow \gamma)$ and $\deg(\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)$ by definition equals the cardinality of Σ^α which is easily seen to equal $|\alpha|!/\alpha!$. Finally, we deal with the monoidal structures. If α and β are multisets of cardinality m and n respectively then a multijection $[1^{m+n}] \rightarrow \alpha \cup \beta$ gives rise to a partition of $[1^{m+n}]$ in one part of size m and another of size n . On the first part we get a multijection onto α and on the second part one onto β . Conversely, any such partition together with a pair of multijections give rise to a multijection $[1^{m+n}] \rightarrow \alpha \cup \beta$. This makes the description of the induced monoidal structure on $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma_n, \mathcal{S}_n)$ clear. $\square$

Mainly with the case of $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ in view we shall mean by a *symmetric monoidal atomic category* an atomic category with a symmetric monoidal structure $\otimes$ such that $A \otimes -$ preserves weak fibre products for all objects A . Furthermore, if the category also has a degree function we shall say that it is *compatible* with the monoidal structure if $\deg(f \otimes g) = \deg(f) \deg(g)$.

Proposition 1.10 *i) If $\mathcal{A}$ is a symmetric monoidal atomic category then there is a canonical symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathbf{NQA}$ making $f \mapsto f_*$ and $f \mapsto f^*$ monoidal functors. If $\mathcal{A}$ has a degree function compatible with the monoidal structure then $\mathcal{DA}$ has a symmetric monoidal structure making the canonical functor $\mathbf{NQA} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$ symmetric monoidal.*

ii) The disjoint union makes $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ a symmetric monoidal atomic category whose degree function is compatible with the monoidal structure.

PROOF: The first part is a simple verification left to the reader. As for the second the preservation of weak fibre products is clear or can be inferred from Proposition 1.9 and the compatibility with the degree function also follows from Proposition 1.9. $\square$

1.3 Almost right exact functors

We need an extension result for functors that is well known for additive functors. For this we need to use part of the Dold-Puppe construction (cf., [DP61]) of left-derived functors of a non-additive functor. Recall that the left-derived functors of a functor from an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ with sufficiently many projectives to another abelian category is obtained as follows: For an object A of $\mathcal{A}$ we take a projective resolution $P \rightarrow A$ of it, turn it into a simplicial object $\Delta(P)$ through the Dold-Puppe construction, apply the functor to its components, consider the complex associated to this simplicial object and then finally take its homology; $L_i F(A) := H_i(F(\Delta(P)))$.

We shall only be interested in the right-most derived functor, $L_0 F(A)$ and it only depends on the first two steps of the resolution which allows for the following more direct formulation. An *s-display* in an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ consists of three objects A_1 , A_0 , and A of $\mathcal{A}$, two maps $\partial_0, \partial_1: A_1 \rightarrow A_0$, one map $s_0: A_0 \rightarrow A_1$, and one map $\epsilon: A_0 \rightarrow A$ with the relations $\partial_1 s_0 = \partial_0 s_0 = \text{id}_{P_0}$ and for which $A_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} A_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A$ makes A the coequaliser of ∂_0 and ∂_1 . Putting $A'_1 := \ker \partial_1$ we get an ordinary presentation $A'_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} A_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ and conversely given such a presentation we may construct an s-display by putting $A_1 := A'_1 \oplus A_0$ and let ∂_1 and s_0 be the projection resp. inclusion on the last factor. This shows that the category of s-displays is equivalent to the category of exact sequences $A'_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} A_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$. If A_1 and A_0 are projective we shall speak of an *s-presentation* (of A).

If F is a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to some other abelian category we shall say that F is *almost right exact* if for every s-display $(A_1, A_0, A, \partial_0, \partial_1, s_0, \epsilon)$, $F(A_1) \xrightarrow{F(\partial_1)} F(A_0) \xrightarrow{F(\partial_0)} F(A)$ is a coequaliser (or equivalently that

$$(F(A_1), F(A_0), F(A), F(\partial_0), F(\partial_1), F(s_0), F(\epsilon))$$

is an s-display).

If $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projectives and F is a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to some other abelian category we define $L_0F(A)$, for A an object of $\mathcal{A}$, as the coequaliser of $F(P_1) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F(P_0)$ of an s-presentation for A . A crucial step in the proof that the derived functors do not depend on the resolution chosen is that homotopies between maps of complexes correspond to homotopies between the associated simplicial objects. The notion for s-presentations that corresponds to homotopies between maps between presentations can not be directly formulated in terms of the s-presentations themselves but needs the next step in the simplicial object $\Delta(P_1 \rightarrow P_0)$. As we shall make no use of the full particulars of such a correspondence we leave the details to the reader. For our purposes it is enough to note that a homotopy $h: P_0 \rightarrow Q_1$ between maps $f, g: (P'_1 \rightarrow P_0) \rightarrow (Q'_1 \rightarrow Q_0)$ of presentations induces a map $h_0: P_0 \rightarrow Q_1$ for which $\partial_1 h_0 = f_0$ and $\partial_0 h_0 = g_0$ which implies that $L_0F(f) = L_0F(g)$.

We have a canonical natural transformation $L_0F \rightarrow F$ which is an isomorphism on projective objects of $\mathcal{A}$. Note furthermore that the definition of $L_0F(A)$ gives an extension of a functor F defined only on the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ whose objects are the projective objects of $\mathcal{A}$. The following proposition justifies calling such an extension the *almost right exact extension* of F .

Proposition 1.11 *Let F be a functor from an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ with sufficiently many projectives to another abelian category. Then F is almost right exact precisely when the natural map $L_0F \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism. In particular the almost right exact extension of a functor defined on the full subcategory of projective objects of $\mathcal{A}$ is almost right exact.*

PROOF: Given an exact sequence $A'_1 \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ we may construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P'_1 & \rightarrow & P_0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ A'_1 & \rightarrow & A_0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, the map $P_0 \rightarrow A_0$ surjective, and P'_1 and P_0 projective. This induces a map of displays

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(P'_1 \oplus P_0) & \rightrightarrows & F(P_0) & \rightarrow & L_0F(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(A'_1 \oplus A_0) & \rightrightarrows & F(A_0) & \rightarrow & F(A), \end{array}$$

where by assumption the upper row is a coequaliser. Assume now that $L_0F(C) \rightarrow F(C)$ is an isomorphism for all C . This implies that $F(P) \rightarrow F(B)$ is surjective for any projective object P and surjective $P \rightarrow B$. Hence $F(P_0) \rightarrow F(A_0)$ is surjective and a simple diagram chase shows that the lower row is a coequaliser.

Conversely, if the lower row is exact for all s-displays then F preserves surjective maps so $F(P_0) \rightarrow F(A_0)$ is again surjective and then a diagram chase concludes also in this case. The last part is then obvious. $\square$

Let us now switch to the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of finitely generated R -modules over some Noetherian ring R and the target category is the category of all R -modules. Starting with a functor defined on finitely generated R -modules we may extend it to the category of all modules by writing an arbitrary module M as a directed limit of finitely generated modules, $M = \varinjlim M_\alpha$, and then put $F(M) := \varinjlim F(M_\alpha)$. This gives a well-defined extension as R is Noetherian, the category of R -modules is equivalent to the category of ind-objects of the category of finitely generated R -modules. Starting with a functor defined only on the category of finitely generated

projective modules we may first consider its almost right exact extension to finitely generated modules and then extend it to all modules. The crucial property needed for this extension is that the category of all R -modules is equivalent to the category of ind-objects of the category of finitely generated modules (cf., [RD, App. Prop. 2]). The two properties that is used in that proof is that every R -module is an inductive limit of finitely generated R -modules and that

$$\lim_{\rightarrow j} \lim_{\leftarrow i} \operatorname{Hom}_R(G_i, H_j) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(\lim_{\rightarrow} G_i, \lim_{\rightarrow} H_j),$$

where (G_i) and (H_j) are inductive systems of finitely generated modules. By a slight abuse of language we shall call that final extension the *almost right exact extension* and generally we shall say that a functor defined on all R -modules is *almost right exact* if it preserves s-displays and commutes with inductive colimits.

Finally, it is clear that a functor defined on the category of finitely generated projective modules is determined by its restriction to the full subcategory of finitely generated free modules and that any such functor defined only on the subcategory has an extension to projective modules; we shall use this fact without further mention.

1.4 Divided power functors

The divided power functors will play a fundamental rôle in what is to follow and in this section we shall recall and establish some of its basic properties. The quickest way of constructing them is probably as the homogeneous components of the free divided power algebra on the module in question. Hence recall (cf., [Ro63]) that a divided power algebra is a commutative augmented R -algebra A , R a commutative ring, with operators γ_n from the augmentation ideal I_A of A to A fulfilling the identities of $r \mapsto r^n/n!$. The free divided power algebra $\Gamma(M)$ on an R -module M is positively graded being R in degree 0, M in degree 1, and the degree n -part $\Gamma^n(M)$, $n > 0$, generated as R -module by the $\gamma_n(m)$, $m \in M = \Gamma^1(M)$. Using this we can show that $\Gamma^n(-)$ is an almost right exact functor.

Proposition 1.12 *i) The functors $\Gamma^n(-)$ are almost right exact.*

ii) The tensor product of almost right exact functors is almost right exact. In particular $\Gamma^{n_1}(-) \otimes \Gamma^{n_2}(-) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Gamma^{n_k}(-)$ are almost right exact.

PROOF: If $M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact then $\Gamma(M_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(M)$ is surjective and the kernel is the ideal generated by the image in $\Gamma(M_0)$ of the augmentation ideal $\Gamma^+(M_1)$ of $\Gamma(M_1)$. Indeed, the surjectivity is clear as by universality $\Gamma(M)$ is the smallest divided power subalgebra of itself that contains M and the description of the kernel follows from the fact that the ideal generated by the image of $\Gamma^+(M_1)$ is a divided power ideal and hence the quotient is a divided power algebra and we conclude by universality of $\Gamma(M)$.

Furthermore, as the tensor product of two divided power algebras has a divided power structure compatible with the given ones we have that $\Gamma(M \oplus M') = \Gamma(M) \otimes_R \Gamma(M')$. Hence, if $M'_1 \oplus M_0 \rightrightarrows M_0 \rightarrow M$ is an s-display then we have that $\Gamma(M'_1 \oplus M_0) = \Gamma(M'_1) \otimes_R \Gamma(M_0)$ and hence the coequaliser of $\Gamma(M'_1 \oplus M_0) \rightrightarrows \Gamma(M_0)$ is $\Gamma(M_0)$ modulo $\Gamma(M_0)\Gamma^+(M'_1)$, i.e., the ideal generated by (the image of) $\Gamma^+(M'_1)$ which, as we have just seen is $\Gamma(M)$.

That the divided power algebra commutes with directed colimits follows directly from the universal property.

As for tensor products of almost right exact functors being almost right exact this is trivial for commutation with directed colimits and easy for preservation of s-displays (and is a very trivial part of the Eilenberg-Zilber formula). $\square$

Remark: i) By the same argument we get that for instance the symmetric powers are almost right exact.

ii) The almost right exactness (and in fact right exactness) is also established in [Ro63, Thm. IV.5] and is then used to show that the kernel of $\Gamma(M_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(M)$ is the ideal generated by $\Gamma^+(M_1)$.

When the module is projective we have a well-known alternative way of describing the divided power functors that we also shall make use of (cf., [Ro63, Prop. IV.5]). In fact, if P is a projective module, then $\Gamma^n(P)$ can be identified with the invariants under Σ_n , the symmetric group on n letters, acting by permuting the tensor factors of $P^{\otimes n}$. The map is given by taking $\gamma_n(p)$ to $p \otimes p \otimes \dots \otimes p \in P^{\otimes n}$. That it is an isomorphism $\Gamma^n(P) \rightarrow (P^{\otimes n})^{\Sigma_n}$ is most easily seen by first reducing to $P = R^m$ for some positive integer m by first writing P as a direct factor of a free module and then using commutation with direct limits. For R^m one reduces to R by using behaviour of the divided power with respect to direct sums and finally that case is trivial. With essentially the same type of argument one also shows that $\Gamma^{n_1}(P) \otimes \Gamma^{n_2}(P) \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma^{n_k}(P)$ is the module of invariants of the action of $\Sigma_{n_1} \times \Sigma_{n_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{n_k}$ on $P^{\otimes n}$, $n = \sum_i n_i$.

We take the opportunity to introduce the notation

$$\Gamma^\alpha(M) := \Gamma^{n_1}(M) \otimes \Gamma^{n_2}(M) \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma^{n_k}(M),$$

where α is the *ordered partition* $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k]$. In fact $\Gamma^\alpha(-)$ can be canonically extended to any finite multiset. Indeed, for a multiset α of cardinality n we put, for a projective R -module P ,

$$\Gamma^\alpha(P) := \left(\bigoplus_{\phi \in \text{Hom}_{S^\mu}([1^n], \alpha)} P^{\otimes n} \right)^{\Sigma_n}. \quad (1.13)$$

We then shall also, without further mention, extend $\Gamma^\alpha(-)$ to all R -modules as an almost right exact functor.

Using a slightly different way of viewing $\Gamma^\alpha(-)$ we can provide it with a structure of Hecke-Mackey-functor.

Proposition 1.14 *i) Let G be a finite group and $\mathcal{G}$ a set of subgroups of G closed under conjugation and intersection. Then the Hecke-Mackey-functor $S \mapsto \mathbf{N}[S]$ from $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{G})$ to the category $S(G)$ of additive representations of G on commutative monoids induces an equivalence of categories from $\mathcal{DP}(G, \mathcal{G})$ to the full subcategory of $S(G)$ whose objects are of the form $\mathbf{N}[S]$ for $S \in \mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{G})$. Differently put $S \mapsto \mathbf{N}[S]$ is the universal Hecke-Mackey-functor on $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{G})$.*

ii) There is a canonical structure of (left) Hecke-Mackey-functor from the atomic category of multisets of cardinality n and multijections to the category of strict polynomial functors (on f.g. projective R -modules) which on α takes the value $\Gamma^\alpha(-)$. Furthermore, that structure considered as a functor from $\mathcal{DS}^\mu$ to the category of strict polynomial functors is monoidal, where $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ is given the monoidal structure of proposition 1.10 and strict polynomial functors the monoidal structure given by the tensor product.

PROOF: Starting with the first part, it is enough to show that the functor $\mathcal{DP}(G, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow S(G)$ induced by $S \mapsto \mathbf{N}[S]$ is fully faithful. Now, $\text{Hom}_G(\mathbf{N}[G/H], \mathbf{N}[S])$ has as basis maps given by the orbits on S of H . On the other hand a graph $G/H \leftarrow D \rightarrow S$ gives rise to an H -orbit of S as the image in S of the inverse image under $D \rightarrow S$ of H under $G/H \leftarrow D$ and it is well-known that this map gives a bijection between graphs and such orbits. It is equally well-known that this map maps the basis of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}(G, \mathcal{G})}(G/H, S)$ consisting of graphs to the basis of $\text{Hom}_G(\mathbf{N}[G/H], \mathbf{N}[S])$ given by H -orbits in such a way that its additive extension realises the action of the functor $\mathcal{DP}(G, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow S(G)$ on morphisms.

As for the second part, the definition of $\Gamma^\alpha(P)$ may be rewritten as $\Gamma^\alpha(P) = \text{Hom}_{R[\Sigma_n]}(R[\Sigma^\alpha], P^{\otimes n})$. Hence $\alpha \mapsto \Gamma^\alpha(-)$ factors as

$$\alpha \mapsto \mathbf{N}[\Sigma^\alpha] \mapsto R[\Sigma^\alpha] \mapsto \text{Hom}_{R[\Sigma_n]}(R[\Sigma^\alpha], (-)^{\otimes n})$$

and is hence the composite of a Hecke-Mackey-functor and additive functors (one of which is contravariant) and is thus a (left) Hecke-Mackey-functor. To show that it is monoidal we use (1.9) which gives us that

$$\Sigma^\alpha \cup \beta = \Sigma_{m+n} \times_{\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n} (\Sigma^\alpha \times \Sigma^\beta)$$

so that if $\gamma := \alpha \dot{\cup} \beta$ we have that $R[\Sigma^\gamma]$ is the Σ_{m+n} -module induced from the $\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n$ -module $R[\Sigma^\alpha \times \Sigma^\beta]$ and hence

$$\Gamma^\gamma(P) = \text{Hom}_{\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n}(R[\Sigma^\alpha \times \Sigma^\beta], P^{\otimes(m+n)}) = \Gamma^\alpha(P) \otimes \Gamma^\beta(P).$$

□

We can use the two descriptions to describe certain natural transformations of these generalised divided power functors. The most natural way of describing them is to use the algebra structure. Hence we have a multiplication map, $m_{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}: \Gamma^{n_1}(P) \otimes_R \Gamma^{n_1}(P) \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R \Gamma^{n_k}(P) \rightarrow \Gamma^n(P)$, where $n := \sum_i n_i$, induced by the multiplication in the divided power algebra. On the other hand, we have a coproduct $\Gamma(P) \rightarrow \Gamma(P) \otimes_R \Gamma(P)$ characterised by being a map of divided power algebras and taking P (in degree 1) to the diagonal in $P \otimes 1 \oplus 1 \otimes P$ (also in degree 1). It maps $\gamma_n(p)$ to $\sum_{i+j=n} \gamma_i(p) \otimes \gamma_j(p)$. By projecting onto the (i, j) -component it gives a map $\Gamma^n(P) \rightarrow \Gamma^i(P) \otimes \Gamma^j(P)$, for $i + j = n$, taking $\gamma_n(p)$ to $\gamma_i(p) \otimes \gamma_j(p)$. Iterating the coproduct and projecting gives us a map $\Delta_{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}: \Gamma^n(P) \rightarrow \Gamma^{n_1}(P) \otimes_R \Gamma^{n_1}(P) \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R \Gamma^{n_k}(P)$, when $n := \sum_i n_i$.

In terms of symmetric tensors the first map, given by $\gamma_{n_1}(p_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \gamma_{n_k}(p_k) \mapsto \gamma_{n_1}(p_1) \dots \gamma_{n_k}(p_k)$, takes a tensor $m \in V^{\otimes n}$ that is invariant under $\Sigma_{n_1} \times \Sigma_{n_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{n_k}$ to the tensor $\sum_i \sigma_i m$ where the σ_i are coset representatives for $\Sigma_{n_1} \times \Sigma_{n_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{n_k}$ in Σ_n and is hence invariant under Σ_n . On the other hand the map $\gamma_n(p) \mapsto \gamma_{n_1}(p) \otimes \dots \otimes \gamma_{n_k}(p)$ is given by the inclusion of Σ_n -invariant tensors in the $\Sigma_{n_1} \times \Sigma_{n_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{n_k}$ -invariant ones.

Proposition 1.15 *Let n_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, be non-negative integers with $n := \sum_i n_i$ and let $f: [n_1, \dots, n_k] \rightarrow [n]$ be the unique (final) map. Then the (left) Hecke-Mackey-functor $\alpha \mapsto \Gamma^\alpha(-)$ takes f^* to $\Delta_{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}$ and f_* to $m_{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}$.*

PROOF: To begin with, f^* is induced by the R -linear map $R[\Sigma^\alpha] \rightarrow R$, where $\alpha := [n_1, \dots, n_k]$, taking each basis element to 1. This makes it clear that the obtained map $\Gamma^n(P) \rightarrow \Gamma^\alpha(P)$ is the inclusion of Σ_n -invariants in $\Sigma_{n_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{n_k}$ -invariants. On the other hand f_* is induced by the R -linear map $R \rightarrow R[\Sigma^\alpha]$ taking 1 to the sum of the basis elements. If we let e be a basis element fixed by $\Sigma_{n_1} \times \Sigma_{n_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{n_k}$ then the basis is given by the elements $\sigma_i e$, where the σ_i are coset representatives for $\Sigma_{n_1} \times \Sigma_{n_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{n_k}$ in Σ_n , which makes it clear that f_* gives $m_{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}$. □

Remark: Note that every multijection is the disjoint union of final multijections and that $\Gamma^\alpha(-)$ is monoidal as a functor in α . As furthermore every morphism of $\mathcal{DS}^\mu$ is of the form $f_* g^*$ this means that the proposition can be used to give a description of the Hecke-Mackey-functor $\Gamma^\alpha(-)$.

We shall need some variations on the theme of definition of Γ^α . Let α be a finite multiset of cardinality n , P an f.g. projective R -module, and $e: \underline{\alpha} \rightarrow P$ a function. For each multijection $f: [1^n] \rightarrow \alpha$ we define an element $e_f := e(f(1)) \otimes \dots \otimes e(f(n))$ in $P^{\otimes n}$. It is clear that $\oplus_f e_f$ gives a Σ_n -invariant element of $\bigoplus_f P^{\otimes n}$ and hence an element $\gamma_\alpha(e) \in \Gamma^\alpha(P)$. (Note that if $\alpha = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$ then $\gamma_\alpha(e) = \gamma_{n_1}(e(1)) \otimes \dots \otimes \gamma_{n_k}(e(k)) \in \Gamma^{n_1}(P) \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma^{n_k}(P)$.)

Further if α is a finite multiset of cardinality n and $\{V_s\}_{s \in \underline{\alpha}}$ is a collection of R -modules then we define $\Gamma^\alpha(V)$ by almost right exactness and by the following condition if V takes projective values: For each multijection $f: [1^n] \rightarrow \alpha$ we define V^f by $V_{f(1)} \otimes_R V_{f(2)} \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R V_{f(n)}$ and then $\Gamma^\alpha(V)$ as the Σ_n -invariants of $\bigoplus_f V^f$. (Again, if $\alpha = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$, then $\Gamma^\alpha(V) = \Gamma^{n_1}(V_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma^{n_k}(V_k)$.) Finally, if $f: \alpha \rightarrow S$ is a multi-map and $\{V_s\}_{s \in S}$ is a collection of R -modules, then we define $\Gamma^f(V_\bullet)$ as $\Gamma^\gamma(V_{g(\bullet)})$, where γ is the graph of f and $g: \underline{\gamma} \rightarrow S$ is the projection on the second factor. Note that even though the second definition depends on the first we may also express the first in terms of the second in that $\Gamma^\alpha(V) = \Gamma^f(V_\bullet)$ where f is the identity function seen as a map $\alpha \rightarrow \underline{\alpha}$. Using these notations we may express how Γ^α decomposes when applied to a direct sum.

Proposition 1.16 *Let $\{V_s\}_{s \in S}$ be a collection of R -modules and α a multiset. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\Gamma^\alpha(\bigoplus_{s \in S} V_s) = \bigoplus_{f: \alpha \rightarrow S} \Gamma^f(V_\bullet),$$

where f runs over all multi-maps from α to S .

PROOF: This is just an invariant formulation of the fact that $\Gamma^*(U \oplus V) = \Gamma^*(U) \otimes \Gamma^*(V)$ and in fact the map from the right hand side to the left hand is given by multiplication in the divided power algebra, the factors multiplied grouped according to f . $\square$

We have a certain functoriality for multijections: If $f: \beta \rightarrow \alpha$ is a multijection and $U_t := V_{f(t)}$ then we get maps $f^*: \Gamma^\alpha(V) \rightarrow \Gamma^\beta(U)$ and $f_*: \Gamma^\beta(U) \rightarrow \Gamma^\alpha(V)$, where f^* and f_* are defined by the same formulas as for $f^*: \Gamma^\alpha \rightarrow \Gamma^\beta$ and $f_*: \Gamma^\beta \rightarrow \Gamma^\alpha$, i.e., are defined by tensor products of the (homogeneous components of) the coproduct resp. the product. In particular, if $g: \alpha \rightarrow S$ is a map then we get maps $f^*: \Gamma^g(V_\bullet) \rightarrow \Gamma^{g \circ f}(V_\bullet)$ and $f_*: \Gamma^{g \circ f}(V_\bullet) \rightarrow \Gamma^g(V_\bullet)$.

2 Polynomial functors

In this section we shall introduce the notion of strict polynomial functors on the category of R -modules. This notion will be almost but not quite the direct extension of that of [FS97, Def. 2.1]. There are two reasons for this difference. The first, and minor, is that it solves the problem that many of the functors that will be of interest to us would not be strict polynomial functors otherwise. This is solved in similar circumstances by introducing the notion of analytic functors that are the direct limits of strict polynomial functors. (This approach would in our case suffer from the slight technical problem that strict polynomial functors are not in general determined by their underlying functors.) The more important reason for adopting the definition that is to be presented is that it conforms well with our eventual aim of defining the notion of polynomial monad and in particular characterising them as essentially those monads whose algebras admit scalar extensions (cf. [ES03]).

We begin by recalling the notion of polynomial maps in the sense of Roby (cf., [Ro63]). If M and N modules over R , then a *polynomial map* from M to N is a natural transformation $f: - \otimes_R M \rightarrow - \otimes_R N$ of set-valued functors, where for an R -module K , $- \otimes_R K$ is the functor on the category of R -algebras which take S to $S \otimes_R K$. As every R -algebra is an inductive limit of finitely generated R -algebras it is easy to see that we may deal only with finitely generated R -algebras and we shall do that in the future. Recall further that to f we can associate unique polynomial maps f_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots$, with the property that for each $m \in S \otimes_R M$ and each $\lambda \in S$, S some R -algebra, we have that $f(\lambda m) = \sum_i f_i(\lambda m)$ (all but a finite number of terms being 0) and for which $f_i(\lambda m) = \lambda^i f_i(m)$. The f_i are constructed by writing $f(t \otimes m) = \sum_i t^i \otimes f_i(m)$, where t is the generator of $S[t]$. We shall call f_i the *i 'th homogeneous component* of f and say that f is *homogeneous of degree i* if $f = f_i$. Note that (cf., [Ro63]) homogeneous maps $M \rightarrow N$ of degree n correspond to R -linear maps $\Gamma^n(M) \rightarrow N$, where the identity map $\Gamma^n(M) \rightarrow \Gamma^n(M)$ corresponds to the universal homogeneous map $M \rightarrow \Gamma^n M$ taking m to $\gamma_n(m)$.

The following rather technical lemma will be needed later.

Lemma 2.1 *Let R be a commutative ring and M and N R -modules.*

i) *Let $f: S \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R M, S \otimes_R N)$ be a natural transformation of functors in R -algebras S . There are unique $f_i \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, such that for every element of M all but a finite number of the f_i vanishes on it and such that for every R -algebra S and every $\lambda \in S$ we have that $f(\lambda) = \sum_i \lambda^i \text{id}_S \otimes f_i$ (the sum being finite when evaluated on an element of $S \otimes_R M$).*

ii) *Let Q be an R -module and f a natural transformation $f: - \otimes_R Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}_-(- \otimes_R M, - \otimes_R N)$ for which $f_S(\lambda q) = \lambda^n f_S(q)$ for all R -algebras S , $\lambda \in S$, and $q \in S \otimes_R Q$. Then there is a unique homogeneous polynomial map $F: Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ of degree n such that for every R -algebra S f_S is the composite of $F_S: S \otimes_R Q \rightarrow S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ and the canonical map $S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R M, S \otimes_R N)$.*

PROOF: For the first part we consider $f(t)$, where t is the variable of $R[t]$. It is an $R[t]$ -map $R[t] \otimes_R M \rightarrow R[t] \otimes_R N$ which is the same thing as an R -map $M \rightarrow R[t] \otimes_R N$. We can therefore define R -maps $f_i: M \rightarrow N$ characterised by $f(t)(m) = \sum_i t^i \otimes f_i(m)$ which is a finite sum as

the target is $R[t] \otimes_R N$. For any $\lambda \in S$ we have an R -map $R[t] \rightarrow S$ taking t to λ and so by functoriality $f(\lambda) = \sum_i \lambda^i \text{id}_S \otimes f_i$.

As for the second part we choose a presentation $R[U] \rightarrow R[V] \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where $R[U]$ and $R[V]$ are the free modules on the sets U and V . Hence for any R -algebra S we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R M, S \otimes_R N) \longrightarrow \prod_V S \otimes_R N \longrightarrow \prod_U S \otimes_R N$$

and thus f_S is given by a V -tuple f_S^v , $v \in V$, of maps $S \otimes_R Q \rightarrow S \otimes_R N$ which map to a U -tuple with constant value 0. Every component f_S^v is natural in S and hence gives a polynomial map $f^v: Q \rightarrow N$ of degree n . This in turn corresponds to an R -linear map $\Gamma^n Q \rightarrow N$ and together they give an R -linear map $\Gamma^n Q \rightarrow \prod_V N$ which maps to zero in $\prod_U N$ and thus gives a map $\Gamma^n Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$, i.e., a homogeneous polynomial map $Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ of degree n . $\square$

We are now ready to give the definition of strict polynomial functors. Some of our arguments will also need the stronger notion that directly correspond to definition of [FS97].

Definition 2.2 i) A strict polynomial functor over a Noetherian commutative ring R consists of a function F from finitely generated projective R -modules to R -modules together with the choice for each pair P and Q of finitely generated projective R -modules and R -algebra S a map

$$F_{P,Q,S}: \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P, S \otimes_R Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R F(P), S \otimes_R F(Q))$$

such that

1. If $S \rightarrow S'$ is a map of R -algebras and f is a module map $f: S \otimes_R P \rightarrow S \otimes_R Q$ then $F_{P,Q,S'}(\text{id} \otimes f) = \text{id} \otimes F_{P,Q,S}(f)$ (i.e., F commutes with extension of scalars),
2. $F_{P,P,S}(\text{id}) = \text{id}$, and
3. $F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$ for all P_1, P_2, P_3 , $f \in \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P_2, S \otimes_R P_3)$, and $g \in \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P_1, S \otimes_R P_2)$.

ii) A strongly polynomial functor over a Noetherian commutative ring R consists of a function F from finitely generated projective R -modules to R -modules together with the choice for each pair P and Q of finitely generated projective R -modules and R -algebra S a map

$$F_{P,Q,S}: \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P, S \otimes_R Q) \rightarrow S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(F(P), F(Q))$$

such that the relations 1) -3) (with some obvious modifications) as for a strict polynomial functor are fulfilled.

iii) A strict (resp. strongly) polynomial functor F is homogeneous of degree n if $F(\lambda \text{id}_{S \otimes_R P}) = \lambda^n \otimes \text{id}_{F(P)}$ for every finitely generated projective R -modules, R -algebra S , and $\lambda \in S$.

Note that we have a natural map

$$S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(F(P), F(Q)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R F(P), S \otimes_R F(Q))$$

and using it associates to any strongly polynomial functor a strict polynomial functor.

Remark: The notion of strongly polynomial functors corresponds directly to that of [FS97, Def. 2.1] but as the map $S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(F(P), F(Q)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R F(P), S \otimes_R F(Q))$ is an isomorphism when $F(P)$ is a finitely generated projective R -module we see that if $F(P)$ is finitely generated projective when P is, then a strongly polynomial structure on F is the same thing as a strict one. As this is always the case in [FS97] we see that our definition of strict polynomial functor includes theirs.

Note that if F_α are strongly polynomial functors then $\bigoplus_\alpha F_\alpha$ given by $(\bigoplus_\alpha F_\alpha)(P) := \bigoplus_\alpha F_\alpha(P)$ has an obvious structure of strict polynomial functor which actually is a direct sum in the categorical sense. This is not true for strongly polynomial functors which is the main reason for introducing our definition of strict polynomial functor. Our first result shows that this essentially is the only difference between strict and strongly polynomial functors.

Proposition 2.3 i) A strict polynomial functor F can be written in a unique fashion as $\bigoplus_i F_i$ where F_i is a strict polynomial functor homogeneous of degree i .

ii) A homogeneous strict polynomial functor has a unique strongly polynomial structure.

PROOF: For a finitely generated projective R -module R we consider the linear map $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, P)$ taking 1 to id_P . Composing it with the structure maps

$$\text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P, S \otimes_R P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R F(P), S \otimes_R F(P))$$

gives us a situation to which (2.1:i) applies and it gives us $u_i \in \text{End}_R(P)$ such that $F(\lambda) = \sum_i \lambda^i u_i$. Continuing as in [MD95, App. A:2] we get that $F(st) = \sum_i (st)^i u_i$, for s and t the variables of $R[s, t]$ and on the other hand $F(st) = F(s) \circ F(t) = (\sum_i s^i u_i)(\sum_i t^i u_i)$ which shows that the u_i are orthogonal idempotents so that $F(P)$ splits as a direct sum of $F_i(P) := \text{Im}(u_i)$. It is now easily seen that for any map $f: P \rightarrow Q$ $F(f)$ preserves this decomposition and hence gives a structure of strict polynomial functor on each F_i and it is equally easy to see that F_i is homogeneous of degree i .

Now if F is homogeneous of degree n we have for projective P, Q, S an R -algebra, $f \in \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P, S \otimes_R Q)$ and $\lambda \in S$ that

$$F(\lambda f) = F((\lambda \text{id}_Q) \circ f) = F(\lambda) \circ F(f) = \lambda^n F(f).$$

This means that we may apply (2.1:ii) and conclude that the structure maps

$$\text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P, S \otimes_R Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R F(P), S \otimes_R F(Q))$$

factors (uniquely) into maps

$$\text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P, S \otimes_R Q) \rightarrow S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(F(P), F(Q))$$

which means that we have provided F with a unique structure of strongly polynomial functor which also is homogeneous of degree n . $\square$

Using the proposition we may concentrate our attention on homogeneous functors. Our current aim is to get the analogue of [FS97, 2.10] that constructs a projective generator for the category of functors of fixed homogeneity.

Proposition 2.4 Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring.

i) The category of strict (resp. strongly) polynomial functors over R is abelian. A sequence is exact precisely when evaluation on all finitely generated projective modules is exact.

ii) For a projective R -module P the function $Q \mapsto \Gamma^n \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ has a structure of homogeneous strict polynomial functor of degree n and it represents the functor $F \mapsto F(P)$ on homogeneous functors of degree n . In particular, $\Gamma^n \text{Hom}_R(P, -)$ is a projective object.

iii) $\Gamma^n \text{Hom}_R(R^n, -)$ is a projective generator on the category of homogeneous functors of degree n .

PROOF: As both categories by Proposition 2.3 are the products of the categories of homogeneous functors of fixed homogeneity we can reduce to the homogeneous case and then again by (2.3) to the case of homogeneous strongly polynomial functors (of degree n say).

For strongly homogeneous functors the non-trivial property is the existence of kernel and cokernel of a map $F \rightarrow G$ together with the property that evaluated on projective modules they are the kernel and cokernel of the evaluation of F and G . This amounts to showing that if we define $H(P)$ as the kernel (resp. cokernel) of $F(P) \rightarrow G(P)$ then we want to provide it with a structure of strongly polynomial functor (compatible with that on F resp. G).

Now note that if P is a finitely generated projective R -module then the map $S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(P, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P, S \otimes_R Q)$ is an isomorphism so that the structure map

$$\text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R P, S \otimes_R Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R F(P), S \otimes_R F(Q))$$

of a strongly polynomial functor is the same thing as a polynomial map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, Q) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(F(P), F(Q)).$$

As F in our case is homogeneous of degree n the map is homogeneous of degree n and hence corresponds to an R -linear map $\Gamma^n \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, Q) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(F(P), F(Q))$ (and similarly for the other functors). Hence we need to associate to every $f \in \Gamma^n \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ an R -linear map $H(P) \rightarrow H(Q)$. However, by assumption we can to f associate one R -map $F(P) \rightarrow F(Q)$ and one $G(P) \rightarrow G(Q)$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(P) & \rightarrow & G(P) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(Q) & \rightarrow & G(Q) \end{array}$$

commutative and hence inducing a unique map $H(P) \rightarrow H(Q)$ which is clearly R -linear.

As for the second part, following [FS97, Thm. 2.10] we consider the map $\Gamma^n \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, -) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(F(P), F(-))$ which induces a map $F(P) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma^n \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, -), F(-))$. On the other hand, we get a map $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma^n \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, -), F(-)) \rightarrow F(P)$ by evaluating some $f: \Gamma^n \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, -) \rightarrow F(-)$ on P and considering the image of $\gamma_n(\mathrm{id})$. These two maps are easily seen to be inverses to each other.

As for the last part, as the category of homogeneous functors is abelian it is enough to show that if F is homogeneous of degree n and $F(R^n) = 0$ then $F(P)$ vanishes for all finitely generated projective P . For this again it is enough to show it when $P = R^m$ for some m (as every P is a factor of some R^m). Furthermore we may assume that $m > n$.

If $e_i: R^m \rightarrow R^m$ is the projection on the i 'th coordinate we may consider $F(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} t_i e_i)$ for independent variables t_i . It is a sum $\sum_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} u_{\alpha}$ and by considering the transformation $t_i \mapsto st_i$, s being a new polynomial variable, and using that F is homogeneous of degree n we get that $u_{\alpha} = 0$ unless $|\alpha| = n$. This means that for every such α there is a subset $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ of cardinality n such u_{α} factors through F applied to the composite $R^m \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow R^m$ where the first map is the projection on the S -coordinates and the second the inclusion. This show that all the u_{α} are zero and by putting all the $t_i = 1$ we get that $\mathrm{id}_{F(R^m)} = F(\mathrm{id}) = 0$. $\square$

Remark: That the category of strict polynomial functors is abelian can be proved directly from the definition when R is a field as then all R -algebras S are flat. In the general case there is a problem with kernels.

In the applications we have in mind an alternative description of strict polynomial functors will also be useful.

Proposition 2.5 *Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring. A strict polynomial functor F amounts to specifying for each finitely generated R -algebra S an almost right exact functor F_S from S -modules to S -modules together with a natural isomorphism $T \otimes_R F_S(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_T(T \otimes_R M)$ for every R -algebra map $S \rightarrow T$ fulfilling an evident transitivity condition. The correspondence is then given by $F = F_R$.*

PROOF: Start with a strict polynomial functor F and let S be a finitely generated R -algebra. We first define F_S on finitely generated free S -modules by setting $F_S(S^n) := S \otimes_R F(R^n)$ and then use

$$F_{R^n, R^m, S}: \mathrm{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R R^n, S \otimes_R R^m) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R F(R^n), S \otimes_R F(R^m))$$

to define F_S on maps. We then extend F_S uniquely to finitely generated projective modules (as noted above) and then to all S -modules by almost right exactness. By construction we have an isomorphism $T \otimes_R F_S(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_T(T \otimes_R M)$ when M is finitely generated free which then extends by additivity to an isomorphism for M finitely generated projective and then to all M by almost right exactness (and right exactness of the tensor product).

The other direction is just a question of retracing the steps. $\square$

This proposition allows us in particular to compose two strict polynomial functors F and G by putting $(F \circ G)_S(P) := F_S(G_S(P))$.

3 S-modules

In this section we shall make a closer study of strict polynomial functors. We know, by the generalisation of [FS97, Thm. 2.10], a projective generator for functors of a fixed homogeneity which by Morita theory gives a module description of the category. However we may (as in [FS97, Cor. 2.12]) split up this projective generator in components and it is more natural to consider not one but several projective generators. Then it is also more natural to interpret Morita theory as giving an equivalence with the category of R -linear functors from an R -linear category with finitely many objects to the category of R -modules. Finally we use previously obtained results to give a purely combinatorial description of it in terms of multisets.

We start by introducing, for a strict polynomial functor F and a multiset α the R -module $F_\alpha := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Gamma^\alpha, F)$, $\mathcal{P}$ being the category of strict polynomial functors.

Proposition 3.1 *Let F be a strict polynomial functor.*

i) *We have*

$$F(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i) = \bigoplus_{\alpha} F_{\alpha},$$

the sum running over all ordered partitions. Furthermore, the factor F_{α} is the image of $\gamma_{\alpha}(e) \otimes F_{\alpha}$, where $e(i) = e_i$, under the natural map $F_{\alpha} \otimes_R \Gamma^{\alpha}(-) \rightarrow F$.

ii) *The Γ^{α} , where α runs over the finite multisets, form a set of projective generators.*

PROOF: We start by noticing that by Proposition 2.4 and just as in the field case (cf., [FS97, Thm. 2.10]) $\Gamma^n \text{Hom}_R(R^m, -)$ represents the functor $F \mapsto F(R^m)$ on homogeneous functors of degree n . Again just as in the field case (cf., [FS97, Cor. 2.12]) it decomposes as the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\beta} \Gamma^{\beta}(-)$, where β runs over all ordered partitions of n supported in $[1^m]$ and that if $\beta = [n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m]$ then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Gamma^{\beta}, F)$ consists of the sub-module of $F(R^m)$ consisting of the elements of $F(R^m)$ that are multi-homogeneous of type $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m]$. Furthermore, $\gamma_{\alpha}(e) \in \Gamma^{\alpha}(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq m} Re_i)$ is the universal element. More invariantly, for a multiset α , $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Gamma^{\alpha}, F)$ consists of the submodule of $F(\bigoplus_{s \in \underline{\alpha}} Re_s)$ of the elements that are α -homogeneous and $\gamma_{\beta}(e) \in \Gamma^{\beta}(\bigoplus_{s \in \underline{\alpha}} Re_s)$ is the universal element. Now, $F(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i)$ can be decomposed into multi-homogeneous components by considering the homogeneous decomposition of $F(\sum_i t_i \pi_i)$, π_i being the projection onto the Re_i -factor which gives the decomposition $F(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i) = \bigoplus_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}$ and the fact that the F_{α} is the image of $\gamma_{\alpha}(e) \otimes F_{\alpha}$ follows from the fact that $\gamma_{\alpha}(e)$ is the universal element.

To prove the second part the fact that the Γ^{α} are projective follows from the first part as F_{α} is a direct factor of $F(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i)$ and hence are exact. As for faithfulness we note that every f.g. projective R -module is a factor of $\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i$ so if $F(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i) = 0$ then so is F . However as $F(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i)$ is a sum of the F_{α} we see that if they are zero then so is F . $\square$

The proposition implies, through standard Morita theory, that the category of homogeneous functors of degree n is equivalent with the R -representations of the R -linear category whose objects are the multisets of cardinality n and whose R -module of morphisms from α to β is the R -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Gamma^{\beta}(-), \Gamma^{\alpha}(-))$. This R -module can be given an explicit description.

Proposition 3.2 *The R -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Gamma^{\beta}(-), \Gamma^{\alpha}(-))$ has a basis consisting of the maps $f_* g^*$, where $\beta \xleftarrow{g} \gamma \xrightarrow{f} \alpha$ is a bimultijection.*

PROOF: After replacing α and β by isomorphic multisets we may assume that $\alpha = [a_1, \dots, a_m]$ and $\beta = [b_1, \dots, b_n]$, where the a_i and b_j are positive integers summing up to the common cardinality N of α and β (the proposition makes sense but is trivial when the cardinalities are

distinct). A submultiset γ of $\alpha \times \beta$ is given by its multiplicities n_{ij} at (i, j) and the condition that the projections be multijections is equivalent to $a_i = \sum_j n_{ij}$ and $b_j = \sum_i n_{ij}$ for all i and j .

Now, elements of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Gamma^\beta(-), \Gamma^\alpha(-))$ correspond to elements of $\Gamma^\alpha(R^N)$ of multi-homogeneous type $[b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n]$. In $\Gamma^\ell(\sum_i Re_i)$ the product $\gamma_{k_1}(e_1)\gamma_{k_2}(e_2)\cdots\gamma_{k_r}(e_r)$ is multi-homogeneous of type $[k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r]$ with the constraint that $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_r = \ell$ and hence the elements $\gamma_{k_{11}}(e_1)\gamma_{k_{12}}(e_2)\cdots\gamma_{k_{1N}}(e_N) \otimes \cdots \gamma_{k_{N1}}(e_1)\gamma_{k_{N2}}(e_2)\cdots\gamma_{k_{NN}}(e_N)$ with $k_{i1} + k_{i2} + \cdots + k_{iN} = a_i$ and $k_{1j} + k_{2j} + \cdots + k_{Nj} = b_j$ form a basis for $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Gamma^\beta(-), \Gamma^\alpha(-))$. Hence we have a natural bijection between the sub-multisets γ as above and a basis for $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Gamma^\beta(-), \Gamma^\alpha(-))$.

Now, by proposition 1.15 and the fact that $\alpha \mapsto \Gamma^\alpha(-)$ is monoidal it follows that under this bijection the basis element corresponding to γ is indeed of the form f_*g^* . $\square$

From this we get the first main theorem. Note that as $\alpha \mapsto \Gamma^\alpha(-)$ is a (left) Hecke-Mackey-functor we get that for a strict polynomial functor F putting $F_\alpha := \text{Hom}(\Gamma^\alpha, F)$, the association $\alpha \mapsto F_\alpha$ is a Hecke-Mackey-functor.

Theorem 3.3 *The functor $F \mapsto \{F_\alpha\}$ gives an equivalence from the category of strict polynomial functors (over the base ring R) to the category of Hecke-Mackey-functors from $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ to the category of R -modules.*

PROOF: We know that the Γ^α form a set of projective generators so as both categories are abelian with arbitrary direct sums it is enough to show that the map

$$R \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{DS}^\mu}(\beta, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma^\beta, \Gamma^\alpha)$$

is a bijection. This however is exactly the content of (3.2) as we know that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{DS}^\mu}(\beta, \alpha)$ has a basis consisting of the isomorphism classes of graphs from β to α and those isomorphism classes correspond exactly to the bimultijections $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$. $\square$

Because of this equivalence we shall, for M a Hecke-Mackey-functor from $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ to R -modules and P an R -module use the notation $M(P)$ for the value of the corresponding functor on P .

Due to the importance of that special case we introduce the notation $\mathbf{S}$ for $\mathcal{DS}^\mu$. We shall also for a commutative ring T use $\mathbf{S}_T$ to denote the scalar extension of $\mathbf{S}$ to T (so that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}_T}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a free T -module on (isomorphism classes of) graphs from α to β). As usual an $\mathbf{S}$ -module in an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ will mean an additive functor from $\mathbf{S}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ (i.e., a Hecke-Mackey-functor). A use of the plain “ $\mathbf{S}$ -module” will refer to an $\mathbf{S}$ -module in R -modules.

Remark: For the purposes of this article the important category is $\mathbf{S}_\mathbf{Z}$ rather than $\mathbf{S}$. However, we believe that it could very well be important to keep $\mathbf{S}$ as it contains more information. More precisely, for the free commutative monoid $\mathbf{N}[S]$ on a set, the set S can be reconstructed from it as the indecomposable elements. As we shall see, $\mathbf{S}$ should be compared with the rigoid generated by $\mathcal{S}^\cong$, the groupoid of finite sets and bijections, and in that case the groupoid can be recovered from its rigoid hull.

It is useful to have a more explicit way of expressing the strict polynomial functor associated to an $\mathbf{S}$ -module. The most convenient way of making such a description is to evaluate the functor on the free R -module $\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i$ on a countable number of generators. Any finitely generated projective module is a direct factor of that module and hence the value of the functor on such a module is determined by the value on $\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i$ together with knowledge of the action of endomorphisms on it.

Proposition 3.4 *Let F be a strict polynomial functor.*

i) *For an R -module M the natural map*

$$\bigoplus_\alpha F_\alpha \otimes_R \Gamma^\alpha(M) \rightarrow F(M),$$

where α runs over all ordered partitions, is surjective and its kernel is generated as R -module by the relations

$$bf_* \otimes a = b \otimes f_*a, \quad a' \otimes f^*b' = a'f^* \otimes b'$$

for all multijections $f: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$, $a \in \Gamma^\alpha(M)$, $b \in F_\beta$, $b' \in \Gamma^\beta(M)$, and $a' \in F_\alpha$. The kernel is also generated by the relations

- For an isomorphism $f: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ the relation $b \otimes f_* a = b f_* \otimes a$ for $a \in \Gamma^\alpha(M)$ and $b \in F_\beta$.
- For an ordered partition $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k]$, n_i positive, the multijection

$$f: [n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k] \rightarrow [n_1 + n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k],$$

$$a \in F_{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}, \quad m \in M \text{ and } b \in \Gamma^{[n_3, \dots, n_k]}(M)$$

$$a \otimes (\Delta_{[n_1, n_2]}(\gamma_{n_1+n_2}(m)) \otimes b) = a f^* \otimes (\gamma_{n_1+n_2}(m) \otimes b)$$

- For an ordered partition $[n_1, \dots, n_k]$, n_i positive, the multijection

$$f: [n_1, \dots, n_k] \rightarrow [n_1 + n_2, \dots, n_k],$$

$$a \in F_{[n_1+n_2, \dots, n_k]}, \text{ and } m_1, m_2 \in M$$

$$a \otimes (m_{[n_1, n_2]}(\gamma_{n_1}(m) \otimes \gamma_{n_2}(m)) \otimes b) = a f_* \otimes (\gamma_{n_1}(m) \otimes \gamma_{n_2}(m) \otimes b)$$

ii) Under the identification $F(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} Re_i) = \bigoplus_\alpha F_\alpha$ of (3.1:i) we have the following identifications of f^* and f_* on F_α resp. F_β for a multijection $f: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ between ordered partitions. Let, for each $i \in \underline{\beta}$, α_i be $f^{-1}(i)$ with the multiplicities induced from α .

1. Consider the linear map $g: \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i$ taking e_j to e_i if $j \in \underline{\alpha_i}$ and $e_j \mapsto e_j$ if $j \notin \underline{\alpha}$. Then we have $a f^* = F(g)a$ for $a \in F_\alpha$.
2. Consider the linear map g , over a polynomial ring over R , that takes e_i , $i \in \underline{\beta}$, to $\sum_{j \in \underline{\alpha_i}} s_j e_j$, where the s_j are polynomial variables. Then, for $b \in F_\beta$, $b f_*$ is the component of $F(g)b$ that is homogeneous in s_j of degree $\mu_{\alpha_i}(j)$ for all j .

PROOF: We begin by noting that the full subcategory $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ whose objects are the ordered partitions is equivalent to $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ and hence the category of Hecke-Mackey-functors on $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ is equivalent to the category of Hecke-Mackey-functors on $\mathcal{P}$ so the surjectivity part of the first part follows from the fact that the Γ^α form a set of projective generators. We then use almost left exactness to reduce to the case when M is a f.g. projective R -module P . It then follows from theorem 3.3 that the kernel is generated by the relations $b f \otimes a = b \otimes f a$ for $f: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ a morphism of $\mathcal{DP}$, $a \in \Gamma^\beta(P)$ and $b \in F_\alpha$. It is clear that is enough to let f run over a set of generators for $\mathcal{DP}$ as a rigid and the rest then follows from Proposition 1.1 and (1.15).

As for the first part of ii), let $A: \Gamma^\alpha \rightarrow F$ be the map which takes $\gamma_\alpha(e)$ to a (using that Γ^α represents $F \mapsto F_\alpha$). Then $a f^*$ is the image of $f^* \gamma_\beta(e)$ under A and by Proposition 1.15 we have $f^* \gamma_\beta(e) = \otimes_{i \in \beta} \gamma_{\alpha_i}(e)$. This means that $f^* \gamma_\beta(e) = \Gamma^\alpha(g) \gamma_\alpha(e)$ and applying A we get $a f^* = A(f^* \gamma_\beta(e)) = A(\Gamma^\alpha(g) \gamma_\alpha(e)) = F(g)a$. Similarly we have, again by (1.15),

$$f_* \gamma_\alpha(e) A = \bigotimes_{i \in \underline{\beta}} \prod_{j \in \underline{\alpha_i}} \gamma_{\mu_{\alpha_i}(j)}(e_j)$$

and the formula for $b f_*$ follows. $\square$

3.1 The tensor structure

Recall that we have a monoidal structure on $\mathbf{S}$ which being additive is given by an additive functor $\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$. We want to give a more precise description of the scalar extension $\mathbf{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}} F$ of an $\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}$ -module.

Proposition 3.5 i) Let γ , α , and β be finite multisets. Then every primitive morphism in $\mathbf{S}$ from $\alpha \cup \beta$ to γ factors uniquely as $h \circ (f \cup g)$, where $f: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha'$ resp. $g: \beta \rightarrow \beta'$ are primitive morphisms in $\mathbf{S}$ and $h: \alpha' \cup \beta' \rightarrow \gamma$ is a multi-shuffle.

ii) If M is an $\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}$ -module then for every finite multiset γ , we have, with the map $\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ being induced by the disjoint union, the equality

$$(M \boxtimes_{\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}} \mathbf{S})_\gamma = \bigoplus_f f \otimes M_{(\alpha, \beta)},$$

where the sum runs over all multi-shuffles $f: \alpha \cup \beta \rightarrow \gamma$ and $f \otimes M_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a copy of $M_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, the notation used only to give the action of the morphisms of $\mathbf{S}$ on it using i).

PROOF: By definition h is a submultiset of $(\alpha \cup \beta) \times \gamma$ and hence decomposes as a disjoint union $\delta \cup \epsilon$ where the projection on the first factor maps δ resp. ϵ into α resp. β . Let now α' resp. β' be the multisets supported on the images under the second projection of δ resp. ϵ and with multiplicities making the second projection induce multijection $\delta \rightarrow \alpha'$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow \beta'$. This gives the required projection and the uniqueness is then clear which finishes i).

The last part is then a direct application of the first. $\square$

Remark: The result should of course be compared with the result that (m, n) -shuffles give a set of coset representatives for $\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n$ in Σ_{m+n} .

Using the map $\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ we get a monoidal structure on the category of $\mathbf{S}$ -modules; given two $\mathbf{S}$ -modules M and N we construct a functor $M \cdot N: \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ by $M \cdot N(\alpha, \beta) = M(\alpha) \otimes_R N(\beta)$ and then put $M \boxtimes N := \mathbf{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}} M \cdot N$. This is easily seen to give the category of $\mathbf{S}$ -modules a symmetric monoidal structure. As we shall eventually be interested in another monoidal structure we shall call it the *tensor structure*. It has the following interpretation in terms of the corresponding functors.

Proposition 3.6 Let F and G be strict polynomial functors and M resp. N the corresponding $\mathbf{S}$ -modules. Then $M \boxtimes N$ corresponds to the strict polynomial functor $F \boxtimes_R G$.

PROOF: To define a map $M \boxtimes N \rightarrow P$, where P is the $\mathbf{S}$ -module of $F \boxtimes G$, it is by adjunction enough to define a map $M(\alpha) \otimes N(\beta) \rightarrow (F \boxtimes G)_{\alpha \cup \beta}$ functorial in α and β . This is done by noticing using that elements $f \in M(\alpha)$ and $g \in N(\beta)$ are mappings $f: \Gamma^\alpha \rightarrow F$ resp. $g: \Gamma^\beta \rightarrow G$ and then map such a pair to

$$\Gamma^{\alpha \cup \beta} = \Gamma^\alpha \otimes \Gamma^\beta \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} F \otimes G.$$

To show that the obtained map $(\mathbf{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}} M \cdot N)_\gamma \rightarrow (F \boxtimes G)_\gamma$ is an isomorphism we use proposition 3.5 where we let γ be an ordered partition and we want hence to show that the map

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha + \beta = \gamma} F_\alpha \otimes G_\beta \rightarrow (F \boxtimes G)_\gamma$$

is an isomorphism. Now, by (3.1.i), We have that $(F \boxtimes G)_\gamma$ is the γ -homogeneous part of

$$(F \boxtimes G)(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i) = F(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i) \otimes G(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} Re_i) = (\bigoplus_\alpha F_\alpha) \otimes (\bigoplus_\beta G_\beta)$$

and hence

$$(F \boxtimes G)_\gamma = \bigoplus_{\alpha + \beta = \gamma} F_\alpha \otimes G_\beta$$

and it is clear that the obtained morphism

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha + \beta = \gamma} F_\alpha \otimes G_\beta \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha + \beta = \gamma} F_\alpha \otimes G_\beta$$

is the identity map. $\square$

Remark: A less computational proof runs as follows: We have a pair of adjoint functors between strict polynomial bifunctors and strict polynomial functors $F \mapsto (U \mapsto F(U, U))$ resp. $G \mapsto ((U, V) \mapsto G(U \oplus V))$. On the other hand $\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}$ -modules classify strict polynomial bifunctors

and it can be seen, as has been noted in the remark after proposition 3.4, that $G \mapsto ((U, V) \mapsto G(U \oplus V))$ correspond to restriction of modules along $\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ and hence by adjunction $F \mapsto (U \mapsto F(U, U))$ corresponds to scalar extension. Finally, for strict polynomial functors F and G , the bifunctor $(U, V) \mapsto F(U) \otimes G(V)$ corresponds to the $\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}$ -module $M \cdot N$, where M and N are the $\mathbf{S}$ -modules corresponding to F resp. G . From this the result follows.

4 The p -local case

Macdonald's theorem (cf. [MD95, App. A:5.3]) gives over a field of characteristic zero another description of strict polynomial functors. We shall now show that his result follows from ours. The proof will also be seen to have implications when rather than containing $\mathbf{Q}$ the ring R is only p -local. To prepare for it we let, for a prime p , $\mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{S}^\mu$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{S}^\mu$ whose objects are those multisets all of whose multiplicities are powers of p with scalars extended to the local ring $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$. Similarly we let $\mathcal{D}^0 \mathcal{S}^\mu$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{S}^\mu$ whose objects are those multisets all of whose multiplicities are equal to 1 with scalars extended to $\mathbf{Q}$.

Proposition 4.1 *i) Let p be a prime and $\mathcal{A}$ an additive category in which multiplication by any integer prime to p on Hom-groups is bijective. Then restriction and extension of functors induce an equivalence from the category of Hecke-Mackey-functors with values in $\mathcal{A}$ to the category of additive functors from $\mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{S}^\mu$ to $\mathcal{A}$.*

ii) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category in which multiplication by any non-zero integer on Hom-groups is bijective. Then restriction and extension of functors induce an equivalence from the category of Hecke-Mackey-functors with values in $\mathcal{A}$ to the category of additive functors from $\mathcal{D}^0 \mathcal{S}^\mu$ to $\mathcal{A}$.

PROOF: For the first part it is enough to show that each finite multiset is a direct factor in $\mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{S}^\mu$ of a multiset all of whose multiplicities are powers of p . This is done by induction over the number of multiplicities that aren't. Hence assume that m is the multiplicity at $s \in \underline{\alpha}$ and that it is not a power of p . Note that if $f: \alpha' \rightarrow \alpha$ is a multijection that is an isomorphism outside of s and if $m_1, \dots, m_k$ are the multiplicities of the points above s , then $\deg f$ equals the multinomial coefficient $\binom{m}{m_1, \dots, m_k}$ and hence α is a direct factor of α' if that coefficient is prime to p . Now write m in base p ; $a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_n p^n$ and choose f such that

$$m_1, \dots, m_k = \overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^{a_0 \text{ times}}, \overbrace{p, p, \dots, p}^{a_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \overbrace{p^n, p^n, \dots, p^n}^{a_n \text{ times}}.$$

It is then well-known that the multinomial coefficient is prime to p .

As for the second part, we do a similar thing, only now we just need for the multinomial coefficient to be non-zero and we can hence choose all the m_i to be equal to 1. $\square$

Remark: Note that $\mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{S}^\mu$ uses all multisets for the definition of Hom-sets. This is not really necessary: Suppose $\alpha \leftarrow \gamma \rightarrow \beta$ is a bimultijection where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{S}^\mu$ but γ isn't. Then we may find as in the proof of the proposition a $\gamma' \rightarrow \gamma$ such that $\gamma' \in \mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{S}^\mu$ and the degree d of $\gamma' \rightarrow \gamma$ is not divisible by p . Thus we have $\alpha \leftarrow \gamma \rightarrow \beta = 1/d(\alpha \leftarrow \gamma' \rightarrow \beta) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{S}^\mu}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Example: In the p -local case the first case that differs from Macdonald's theorem is the case of functors of degree p . The proposition then gives that the category of such functors is equivalent to the category of tuples (M, N, f, g) , where M is an $R[\Sigma_p]$ -module, N an R -module and $f: M \rightarrow N$ and $g: N \rightarrow M$ are equivariant R -linear maps (for the trivial action of Σ_p on N) fulfilling $fg = p$ and $gf = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} \sigma$.

5 Scalar extension

One way of getting a strict polynomial functor is to start with a collection $\{M_n\}$ of right $R[\Sigma_n]$ -modules and then put $S(M)(P) = \sum_n M_n \otimes_{\Sigma_n} P^{\otimes n}$. In that case $S(M)_\alpha = R[\Sigma^\alpha] \otimes_{\Sigma_n} M_n$,

where $n := |\alpha|$. In particular when $\alpha = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$ then $S(M)_\alpha = (M_n)_{\Sigma_{n_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{n_k}}$. In this section we shall give a direct construction of the $\mathbf{S}$ -module associated to $S(M)$.

If $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive functor of rigoids, $\mathcal{C}$ an abelian category with arbitrary colimits and $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ an additive functor we shall denote by $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F$ the left Kan extension of F along h . Note that the value of this extension on an object $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is the colimit of $F(a)$ over the category of maps $h(a) \rightarrow b$.

To begin with we shall apply this construction to the following situation: We let $\mathcal{S}^\cong$ be the groupoid of finite sets and bijections and let Σ be its rigoid hull, i.e., $\text{Hom}_\Sigma(S, T) = \mathbf{N}[\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}^\cong}(S, T)]$. We have a functor $\mathcal{S}^\cong \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^\mu$ taking a set to the corresponding multiset and it extends to an additive functor $\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$.

Proposition 5.1 *Assume that $M: \Sigma \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ is an additive right functor. Then for any finite multiset α of cardinality n the value $(M \otimes_\Sigma \mathbf{S})_\alpha$ of $M \otimes_\Sigma \mathbf{S}$ at α equals $M_{[1^n]} \otimes_{R[\Sigma_n]} R[\Sigma^\alpha]$. Furthermore, the strict polynomial functor $M(-) \otimes_\Sigma \mathbf{S}$ associated to $M \otimes_\Sigma \mathbf{S}$ equals $S(M)$.*

PROOF: The first part follows almost directly from the description of the Kan extension recalled above: We have that the set of maps $[1^n] \rightarrow \alpha$ is given by $\mathbf{N}[\Sigma^\alpha]$ and it is easily seen that the colimit is exactly $M_{[1^n]} \otimes_{R[\Sigma_n]} R[\Sigma^\alpha]$.

For the rest of the first part, let N be the $\mathbf{S}$ -module corresponding to $M_n \otimes_{\Sigma_n} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} P^{\otimes n}$. To define a map $M \otimes_\Sigma \mathbf{S} \rightarrow N$ we need by adjunction only define a map $M \rightarrow N$ of Σ -modules. However, N_n consists of the $[1^n]$ -homogeneous elements of $M_n \otimes_{\Sigma_n} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} Re_i)^{\otimes n}$ and we map $m \in M_n$ to the residue of $m \otimes e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n$ which is clearly an isomorphism $M_n \rightarrow N_n$. Considering a general finite multiset α we have that N_α is the α -homogeneous part of $M_n \otimes_{\Sigma_n} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\bigoplus_{i \in \underline{\alpha}} Re_i)^{\otimes n}$. Such a part exists only in the summand with $n = |\alpha|$ and then the α -homogeneous basis vectors of $(\bigoplus_{i \in \underline{\alpha}} Re_i)^{\otimes n}$ is in Σ_n -invariant bijection with Σ^α and we get that $N_\alpha = M_n \otimes_{\Sigma_n} R[\Sigma^\alpha]$. As the quotient maps $M_n \rightarrow M_\alpha$ and $N_n \rightarrow N_\alpha$ are given by the action of an element of $\mathbf{S}$, they and the maps $M_n \rightarrow N_n$ and $M_\alpha \rightarrow N_\alpha$ form a commutative diagram showing that $M_\alpha \rightarrow N_\alpha$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

It follows from the proposition that the scalar extension from Σ to $\mathbf{S}$ is not exact. That means that given a Σ -module M we get not only one $\mathbf{S}$ -module by scalar extension but a sequence of them; $\text{Tor}_*^\Sigma(\mathbf{S}, M) := H_*(M \otimes_\Sigma^L \mathbf{S})$. We then get the following result as corollary.

Corollary 5.2 *If M is a Σ -module then for each finite multiset α we have $\text{Tor}_*^\Sigma(M, \mathbf{S})_\alpha = \text{Tor}_{\Sigma_n}(M_{[1^n]}, R[\Sigma^\alpha])$.*

PROOF: This follows immediately from the proposition applied to a Σ -projective resolution of M . $\square$

A particularly interesting case is when $M = R[\Sigma_n / \rho(G)]$ for a faithful permutation representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ in which case $H_*(\Sigma_n, M)$ is the sum over the G -orbits of Σ^α of the homology (with coefficients in R) of the G -stabiliser of a point of the orbit. We shall denote this homology $H_*^\rho(G)$. In [ES03] we shall make a closer study of it and in particular show how it can be used to express the homology of wreath products.

References

- [BDFP] H.-J. Baues, W. Dreckmann, V. Franjou, and T. Pirashvili, *Foncteurs polynomiaux et foncteurs de Mackey non linéaires*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **129** (2001), no. 2, 237–257.
- [DP61] A. Dold and D. Puppe, *Homologie nicht-additiver Funktoren. Anwendungen*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **11** (1961), 201–312.
- [Dr73] A. W. M. Dress, *Contributions to the theory of induced representations*, Algebraic K-theory, II: “Classical” algebraic K-theory and connections with arithmetic (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), SLN, no. 340, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, pp. 183–240.

- [ES03] T. Ekedahl and P. Salomonsson, *Schur operads and polynomial monads*, In preparation, 2003.
- [FS97] E. M. Friedlander and A. Suslin, *Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field*, Invent. Math. **127** (1997), no. 2, 209–270.
- [MD95] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [RD] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality. Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne.*, SLN, no. 20, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [Ro63] N. Roby, *Lois polynômes et lois formelles en théorie des modules*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **80** (1963), 213–348.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, SE-106 91 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
E-mail address: teke@math.su.se

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, SE-106 91 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
E-mail address: p.s@tiscali.se